1		JUDGE PECHMAN
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8 9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )	Case No. CR04-301P
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ONTENHUNG TRIAL DATE
12	v.	) CONTINUING TRIAL DATE ) AND DEADLINE FOR FILING
13	THOMAS EDWARD SUMMERS,	) OF PRETRIAL MOTIONS
14	Defendant.	
		_)
15		
<ul><li>15</li><li>16</li></ul>	<u>ORDE</u>	<u>R</u>
	ORDE  THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation	
16		to Continue Trial Date concerning a
16 17	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation	to Continue Trial Date concerning a
16 17 18	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree	a to Continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the
16 17 18 19	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the	a to Continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of
16 17 18 19 20	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat	a to Continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C.	a to Continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into aid-December 2005;
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. account defense counsel's unavailability until meaning of the country of the c	a to Continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into aid-December 2005; e would deny the defendant continuity of
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. account defense counsel's unavailability until mand that a failure to grant the continuance	and and continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into aid-December 2005; would deny the defendant continuity of 1(h)(8)(B)(iv);
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. account defense counsel's unavailability until mand that a failure to grant the continuance counsel, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 316	and and continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into aid-December 2005; would deny the defendant continuity of 1(h)(8)(B)(iv); of justice will be served by ordering a
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	THE COURT has the parties' Stipulation continuance of the trial date. The parties agree the Court finds that a failure to grant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparat due diligence, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. account defense counsel's unavailability until mand that a failure to grant the continuance counsel, within the meaning of 18 U.S.C. § 316 and the Court further finds that the ends	and and continue Trial Date concerning a and continuance would deny counsel the ion, taking into account the exercise of § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii), and taking into aid-December 2005; would deny the defendant continuity of 1(h)(8)(B)(iv); of justice will be served by ordering a eccessary to ensure effective pretrial and

The Court finds that the period of time from the current trial date of November 7, 2005 until the new trial date of January 9, 2006, shall be excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161 et seq. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, that the trial in this matter is continued until January 9, 2006. Pretrial motions are due by December 1, 2005. DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2005. /S/Marsha J. Pechman THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE